	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/530,790	KOSAKA, HIDEKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DENNIS M. WHITE	1797	
All Participants:	ticipants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>DENNIS M. WHITE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>DOUGLAS MUELLER, ATTORNEY</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 June 2010	Time: <u>4:00 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 1 and 8			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/LYLE A ALEXANDER/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim amendement were discussed that would expedite prosecution and put the application in condition for allowance. Specifically the elements of a "test piece" are to be included in the independent claim, the elements of a "porous membrane" in claim 8 sufficiently meet this. Applicants agreed to incorporate this amendment in the independent claim.